

## Agenda for the Harwich Golf Committee

August 23rd, 2022 - **Special Meeting**

*Note: This is considered as in person meeting, and open to the Public*

Tuesday, Small Meeting Room 4-6:00PM Town Hall, Harwich

**Public Comment-** (Limited to agenda content - and, may be submitted in writing to the Committee Chair prior to the meeting. Allocated speaker time two minutes)

### **New Business**

1. Complete review and discussion of the Mungeam Assoc. **tee placement plan**. (Possible Voting)

### **Points of discussion and concern - Carol Fuller & Martha Duffy:**

#### General

- Discussion of numerous inconsistencies, data discrepancies and gender inequity in proposed women's tee plan component for both the women's long and short courses.
- Architect's submitted recommended changes used **incorrect** yardage for women's distance changes.
- Architect's plan contradicted his own verbal directive.

#### Green (Short Course) Discussion

- Recommendations did not follow the Director's request to shorten the course using existing tee boxes.
- Architects plan places women on 5 mowed grass fairway/rough areas with no properly built tee boxes planned.
- Two newly built green tee boxes on holes 8 and 16 will be eliminated and women will be moved farther back.
- Hole 8 Elimination of the existing tee. Director sites current newly built tee yardage does not conform with USGA standards for a par 5 for woman. It does. Standard 370. Hole 8 is 375.
- Hole 16. Recommendation is to eliminate the existing tee and move the women's shorter option back to the RED yardage. The existing tee does not conform by 60 yards. This is correct BUT non-conforming yardage for Men remain on two holes. Hole 14. Blue, White and Silver do not meet standards. The silver yardage is short by 70 yards. Hole 18 Men's Silver is short by 40 yards. Question of why different standards for men's and women's course.
- The disruption to the shorter course nets ONLY an 80 yard difference.
- Men at Cranberry Valley have three properly built options to accommodate different swing speeds. Women's proposed second option does not provide the same professional standard.
- 
- **Issues on the Red (longer tees)**
- Hole 17 is inconsistent with the architects stated opinion about the length of a par three for women. It's planned for 164. The architect stated this distance was a "cruel" option for a par three for women.

- Hole 14 was moved back on an already difficult par 4 to a length of 350. The men's silver at 380 is a non-conforming par 5. We would like to discuss the logic.
- The realignment to shorten Hole 2 to the existing Green Tee box. . Architect's 2017 plan expressed concern about it's proximity of the oncoming 8th fairway. We should have a discussion about risk and liability.

Authorized Posting Clement Smith, HGC-Chair

**Per the Attorney General's Office: The committee may hold an open session for topics not reasonably anticipated by the Chair 48 hours in advance of the meeting following "Old Business." If you are deaf or hard of hearing or a person with a disability who requires an accommodation, contact the Selectmen's Office at 508-430-7513 Date 3/11/15 Next regular monthly meeting: September 13th, 2022**