

MINUTES SELECTMEN'S MEETING GRIFFIN ROOM, TOWN HALL MONDAY, JULY 3, 2017 6:30 P.M.

SELECTMEN PRESENT: Ballantine, Brown, Howell, Kavanagh, MacAskill

OTHERS PRESENT: Town Administrator Christopher Clark, John Rendon, Amy Usowski, Larry Cole, Leo Cakounes, Linda Cebula, Larry Brophy, and others.

WEEKLY BRIEFING

Ms. Carey spoke on behalf of Cyndi Williams of the Chamber of Commerce and provided information on upcoming Chamber events after which she discussed upcoming events at the Community Center and at the former Middle School.

PUBLIC COMMENT/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Cole, Harwich Director at Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, reported that CVEC met in urgent session and voted to file to become a full intervenor in the Eversource rate case at the Department of Public Utilities (DPU). He stated that after Eversource made additional filings in June, the DPU extended the timeline for making intervenor filings until July 10th and other steps in the process follow soon after. Mr. Cole explained that CVEC needs intervenor status in order to appeal an unfavorable DPU decision in the courts, where one of the primary legal arguments would be that the decision disturbs existing contracts, which Mr. Charles McLaughlin, the Director for Barnstable, and an attorney, regards as a constitutional violation.

Mr. Cole distributed a table showing the amounts of annual losses in net metering credits that would be incurred by the towns in the CVEC Round 1 solar energy projects if the Eversource petition for rate changes is approved as submitted. He stated that for Harwich, it is about \$135,000 and the total losses for all the towns would be about \$1,000,000 annually.

Mr. Cole explained that these losses result from changes in the values of variables specified in the NMC price formula, which was enacted into law, and cannot be changed by the DPU. He noted that rate changes proposed by Eversource reduce the value of the distribution cost factor in the formula, which, in turn, reduce the NMC price by \$0.02 per kWh, which doesn't seem like much, but multiplied by millions of kWh adds up to serious money. He stated that the reason for the reduction in the distribution cost factor in the formula is Eversource's proposal to recover more of its distribution costs from flat rate charges to customers and less from usage charges.

Mr. Cole stated that CVEC has committed half of its FY2018 legal budget to pursue this status, and may need to spend much more if intervenor status is granted. He said he is not seeking special funding to pay for extraordinary legal costs; rather, he wants the Town to know how some of the Round 1 adder that went into effect on July 1 will be spent, and will report further to the Board when CVEC has an estimate of how much more it will cost to continue. He said that, personally he was willing to dip into CVEC reserves to fund the costs of staying the legal course, but will seek guidance from the Board. Mr. Cole noted that in the not too distant future, Liz Argo from CVEC would be making the rounds of the towns again seeking support for extending the Round 1 adder for a second year.

Mr. Ballantine asked what the NMC price was that would be reduced by \$0.02 per kWh. Mr. Cole said he would have to look that up and provide the answer.

Ms. Kavanagh asked whether customers would be faced with any rate increases. Mr. Cole said that the monthly flat rate customer charge of \$3.73 for residential customers who do not heat their homes with electricity would go up by a few dollars. He said there will be similar increase for other rate classes and there will be a new charge, the Monthly Minimum Reliability Charge that will apply to net metering customers who use very little power from the grid and thus do not pay much toward recovery of Eversource's cost of operating and maintaining the distribution network.

Mr. Howell asked if it were not the case that stockholders bore the cost of a company's capacity expansion. Mr. Cole replied that that would be true for the deregulated power generation industry, but this case was only about changing the distribution company's rate structure and rate levels to recover costs associated with the existing network. He added that there is a separate proceeding at the DPU pertaining to Eversource's network modernization plan, and any legitimate additional costs incurred by Eversource in implementing that plan would be recoverable from rate payers, including earning an authorized rate of return on any capital costs incurred.

Mr. Cakounes, President of CVEC, reassured the Board on all the facts that Mr. Cole presented and reminded them of the importance of being a member of a collaborative such as CVEC for this such purpose.

CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Minutes
 - 1. May 30, 2017 Regular Session
 - 2. June 22, 2017 Regular Session
- B. Accept the resignation of Barbara Josselyn as a member of the Architectural Advisory
- C. Accept the resignation of Liz Groves as a member of the Architectural Advisory
- D. Approve the re-appointment of David Callaghan as the Real Estate and Open Space Committee's representative to the Trails Committee
- E. Approve the request for use of the Caleb Chase Fund in the amount of \$395.00
- F. Rescind the vote to approve the sale of Surplus Beach Sand to all bidders and approve the sale of the sand to the highest bidder only
- G. Accept donations/gifts to the Council on Aging
- H. Confirm appointment of Marie Carlson to Executive Assistant position at Council on Aging effective July 11, 2017
- I. Confirm appointment of Rain Ryder to Foreman position in Golf Dept. effective July 11, 2017
- J. Approve expenditure of \$17,899.32 from the Cable Capital Appropriation Fund for invoices related to the TV Studio and aid in the delivery of live programming and feed at Monomoy Regional High School
- K. Approve application for Annual Autumn Escape Bicycle Trek by American Lung Association for September 23, 2017

Chairman MacAskill said he is holding Item J. Mr. Howell asked to hold Item A as well. Mr. Ballantine moved to approve the balance of the Consent Agenda. Ms. Brown seconded the motion. Mr. Rendon explained Item F in more detail including some operational issues they have had with the County dredge. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Mr. Howell asked to add a sentence into the

minutes of May 30 indicating that there was no Attachment A or Attachment B, they were blank pages for the locus. He moved to accept the minutes of May 30 with that change. Mr. Ballantine seconded the motion and the motion carried by a unanimous vote. Chairman MacAskill stated that Item J is off the Consent Agenda.

NEW BUSINESS

A. Potential lease of North Harwich bogs

Chairman MacAskill noted that Mr. Mark Coleman is requesting to lease the bogs in North Harwich. Ms. Usowski made the following remarks:

Mark is one of a couple of people who have expressed interest in potentially leasing the bogs which are under the care and custody of the Conservation Commission in the Bells Neck Conservation Area right near where the fish ladder kind of comes in the Depot Street entrance to the bogs. The Conservation Commission has leased out these bogs for a while now. This year is the end of a 10 year lease from Craig Williams and as of a year or two ago, Craig had informed us that he did not want to renew his lease. This bog, as opposed to other bogs in Town, has more management issues. It is part of our herring run that goes through the reservoirs all the way up to Long Pond. Letting in and letting out water requires a little finesse and also monitoring and making sure nets are in and what not; it's been something the Commission has been striving to be better at and towards the end of Craig's tenure with us, we were working with him to improve that situation so fish would not get stuck in the bogs. What this prompted when Craig gave up his lease is for the Conservation Commission to try to figure out do we want to continue leasing these bogs or do we want to somehow try to naturalize them because some of the bogs are separate. Do we want to do a little of each, what would make the most sense. So the Commission directed myself to start looking at options and getting ideas. So we did have Mass Department of Ecological Restoration out this past spring. We also had APCC out who is starting to work on bog restorations actions. Let me preface this by saying that the Commission is not sold on either one option or the other. They see the historic value of cranberry operation but they also see the ecological side of things so they are really kind of unbiased. They just want some facts. We are at the point now of kind of needing to spend some money. I've dug some holes there, sent some soil samples off with historic bog use. There is some concern about chemicals especially if you are looking at restoration. So we are at the point now of starting to need to spend some money and my Commission has a little bit in the wetlands account for such stuff as this but would be looking to speak with Bob Cafarelli to maybe put out a request to some firms to help you out. The Commission just wants options and I think that they would be amenable to keeping it an active bog if we could have a cooperative partnership and in the meantime while we're trying to figure out what to do, Leo has been advising us when to flood and when to not flood to keep the bog viable in the event the Commission does want to lease the bogs out again. The process hasn't been as expeditious as we would have liked it to be but we are continuing to work on it.

Mr. Cakounes stated that his concern is trying to keep the weeds from taking over the bog and killing the vine and also dealing with a fungus that could hurt the vines themselves, so now it is underwater which was done for the purpose of killing the buds. He stated that we did not want to grow a crop or we would be faced with harvesting it in September and if you don't harvest the crop you would be faced with rotting fruit which again feeds fungus. He said we need to keep an eye through the summer that we fill the ditches so the vines get water and he doesn't think waiting this growing season would be detrimental to the bogs. He noted that we can go on for awhile but suggested that if they want a grower to go in there they would want something done by end of summer or early fall to give them the winter season to make the investment on the sprinkler system so it is up and running in the spring.

Ms. Usowski said they would be discussing this at the next meeting of the Conservation Commission and she would be seeking a consensus of the Commission as to what they want. Ms. Usowski took questions from the Board.

Mr. Cakounes said he has no intention on bidding on this and that is why he is very comfortable helping on this issue and asked to go on record as such.

Chairman MacAskill commented to Ms. Usowski that farming is clearly an important part of the Town of Harwich, people pay to go on bog tours, it brings people to the community and he hopes the Commission takes that seriously. He asked Ms. Usowski to get a letter or phone call out to Mr. Coleman explaining where we are at in the process.

B. Discussion of fixing staggering terms and potential of too many members on Planning Board

Mr. Howell distributed the current membership status of the Planning Board after which he made the following statement:

Let me start with the fact that this isn't something that I just came up with in the past week or so. There's 3 people in the room who know 3 years ago I spoke as part of the Charter Committee that this is something that needed to be fixed. In a meeting with Mr. Clark and John Giorgio, when I pointed out that this was the case, there was a fair amount of consternation on his part because it is not properly organized under the Charter and that alone could cause somebody to appeal something and have it overturned just by it not being in accordance. We can't exceed our authority as a Board to appoint which was the major thought. Before everybody gets really scared, the 60 day period is up on any of these actions so for the past several years, so it isn't a hot button item at this second but it's going to be one at some point. Now, going back to 2013, the then Board of Selectmen appointed David Harris as an alternate with a term that was a balance for one year. The records indicate that in 2014 the then Board of Selectmen appointed him without reference to alternate. In his appointment letter likewise had no reference to an alternate so I've got both of the letters from the Town Administrator's office, both in 2013 and 2014. May 21, 2013 they welcomed him to a term unexpired to 2014 as an alternate member. By 2014 that was dropped. This past year we reappointed him again with no reference to it being an alternate position as a reappointment. The Town Clerk's office, based on what she swore him in as, has the records that I just gave you. That's the accurate accounting because you can't change this based on practice and what you were calling that individual. That individual actually converted out to a full member after the first year 2013. With that action there are currently 8 full members of a Planning Board that the Town's by-laws afford 7 for, so you're oversubscribed.

Mr. Brophy, Chairman of the Planning Board, stated that that was incorrect as Mr. Stello was reappointed but not sworn in so as of now there are 7 members of the Board. He said he spoke to Mr. Stello who agreed to be an alternate member. Mr. Howell stated that Mr. Brophy does not have that authority.

Chairman MacAskill stated that Mr. Stello would have to do a formal resignation and the fact is we have 8 members sworn in to a 7 member Board.

Mr. Howell continued as follows:

When you count based on the 8, in 2018 there is 1 position expiring, in 2019 there are 6 positions expiring, and in 2020 there is 1 position expiring. I can't emphasize this too much, especially to the public who may be listening, there is a vast difference between a person and a position. The person occupies the position, the positions exists through the Charter and they have to be at a point where they alternate. It should be 2-3-2 based on a 7 member board, that is not currently what it is, so when I had the discussion and Mr. Clark was in that, I proposed to John Giorgio that in exceeding their authority, it's not that a Charter change has to happen, it's not that somebody has to be requested to do this, those positions on their face were void because the Board of Selectmen exceeded its authority to make the excessive appointments in that year. There are 2 paths that we can go on but no matter what else happens with the alternate and full time person, this Board would have to first void whatever the requisite number of people would be in the oversubscribed year and then after that vote was taken in the same meeting reappoint them with the correct expiration dates, and that would be under our purview and our authority because they actually never were valid appointments, but again an appointment starts here, it gets validated by getting sworn in and those 2 actions are essential to becoming an actual voting member of any Board. My problem is this isn't the only Board. I brought it up because it was a regulatory body. I've been slogging through the regulatory bodies because those would be the most pertinent ones if somebody were to appeal something. When the Board appointed Linda Cebula to the full position there were 7 members already. So prospectively one solution would be to move her to an alternate and to change one other person. I arbitrarily chose Alan Peterson, to change that from 2019 to 2018 that brings you to 2-3-2. That's not the only solution but that's one. Mr. MacAskill and the Chairman just proposed another. Tom Stello would resign to the Clerks' office and then we could appoint him after that as an alternative. We'd still have to change the dates of the others.

Mr. Brophy indicated that he would be fine with that. Chairman MacAskill said to bring this back to the next meeting written out as a vote and in the interim he would like Mr. Brophy to be involved in this. He also requested that Ms. Kavanagh, as liaison, and Mr. Howell have a conversation with Mr. Brophy and outline this so they can vote something to fix this problem next week. Mr. Brophy stated that at this time they are looking at a fair amount of work and 2 members have had difficulty making meetings. Mr. Clark suggested if someone was appointed for 2019 and it should have been 2018, that the Board just take a corrective action vote so there would be no continuity question. Mr. Howell responded that the Board could do that but they could only do it for one person because the other one is also one of the 2019 people so you would still wind up with an unbalanced end date. Mr. Clark suggested moving a 2019 member to 2018 to reduce the number. The Board agreed to bring this item back.

C. Letter to elected delegates regarding wastewater funding

Chairman MacAskill explained that Mr. Hughes had requested that the Board get a letter to our delegates regarding wastewater funding. He noted that since then, included in the state budget is a provision for the Airbnb tax to come out as a local option and that money could be directed toward wastewater related issues. He said it doesn't make sense to send a letter to ask for an action when one has already been taken by the legislature but rather suggested sending a letter of support for this approach and have the funding related to the revenue directed toward wastewater funding. Mr. Ballantine agreed and Mr. Clark said they would draft a letter under the Chair's signature to the

legislative delegation. Ms. Kavanagh said are we being asked to endorse the Airbnb tax in terms of it coming from the state because we were originally talking about that on a local level and she is not in favor of it. Mr. Clark stated that now that the legislation is on the verge of being adopted, he questioned if the Board is in support of that and if now we shouldn't write a letter. Mr. Howell expressed concern the because he got a phone call from somebody on the county level who maintained that we were unanimously in favor of this so if we aren't in favor we need to be explicit in that. Ms. Kavanagh stated that she is not in favor of writing a letter until we see it become a law. The Board took comments from Mr. Cakounes. Chairman MacAskill said he would bring this item back.

D. Amend original vote of Middle School use time period

Chairman MacAskill said he was bringing this back so that the Board can entertain extending the two year date from June 13 until the time we had some occupants in the building as we lost a few months to planning and use agreements. Mr. Clark noted that there are only 2 rooms left that are available for rent. Mr. Ballantine said he would oppose this as he is still looking for information on financial revenue and expenses including use of department labor. He said we should have enough to put together a pro-forma revenue cash statement and a capital plan for that building. He stressed that if it is going to cost us money, we need to be transparent on that. Chairman MacAskill responded that we can only project now and would need some time to actually give those numbers to the residents. Mr. Clark stated that we are now in a place to start to collect data. Mr. Howell stated that we agreed with Monomoy to pay for half of the active sewage remediation plant so even if the building is empty and we had no one in the building, we are still on the hook for that. Ms. Kavanagh moved that we move forward to amend the original vote of the Middle School use time period for an additional period going from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2019. Mr. Howell seconded the motion and the motion carried by a 3-1-1 vote with Mr. Ballantine in opposition and Ms. Brown abstaining from the vote.

E. FY 18 Selectmen's Goals and Objectives

Chairman MacAskill explained that there are 3 different versions of the goals and objectives – one is a simple outline with the 6 goals and objectives, the second is mid-level with some detail, and one is a high level which has the actions and deliverables. Mr. Clark stated that it is a very ambitious schedule and asked for some kind of prioritization. He commented that wastewater is going to be a huge effort. He said he would like to do something similar to last year by assigning different goals. Mr. Howell suggested weighting items such as communication, money and wastewater as they are position standards and said there should be some deliverables. Ms. Brown said she preferred the more detailed version with deliverables and added that it is a working document that doesn't always get completed. Ms. Kavanagh agreed with the detail of the third version as it clearly spells out what we are trying to achieve and where that information should come from. Mr. Ballantine said he likes the first one if we were to add to that from the detailed version. He questioned what 1 or 2 goals they would be measuring Mr. Clark on. He said he wanted targets for S&P bond ratings which is what he would consider a measurable goal. He commented that he doesn't like the terms "support" and "report" as it makes it feel like it's a group activity but it is but through assignment. Mr. Howell said he would agree to it if the long list of deliverables is weighted. Chairman MacAskill suggested that whatever one they vote it should be populated into the spreadsheet and then the Board will make recommendations on priorities.

Ms. Kavanagh moved to approve the goals and objectives in the 2018 goals and objectives detailed actions and deliverables format which is the 12 page document. Ms. Brown seconded the motion. Mr.

Ballantine said the caveat would be that those would come back to us for weighting. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

F. Discussion on weekly communication memo to the Board from Administration

Chairman MacAskill said he looked at some other towns as to how they handle communications to the Board from Administration and he has provided a sample of how Brewster handles it. He explained that the department heads outline what they are doing, as does Administration, and it gets sent to the Board. He said he has asked that we start this in August. Mr. Clark said he will walk through with the department heads what the expectations and mechanics are at the next department head meeting. Ms. Kavanagh said she is in favor of this type of format. Ms. Brown was in favor and suggested keeping it as part of the mail. Mr. Howell said it should be succinct and cut and paste is fine. Mr. Clark said he would look to August 1 as the implementation date.

G. One Cape overview and discussion

Ms. Kavanagh stated that the Commission did a fabulous job with the One Cape meeting. She provided a synopsis of the housing session statistics which she commented were shocking. The Board briefly discussed housing issues.

H. Barnstable County survey

Mr. Cakounes thanked all for participating in the One Cape meeting. He discussed affordable housing versus subsidized housing including zoning issues, accessory apartments, alternative septic systems, and the importance of responding to the County survey.

TOWN ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

A. Disbanding the Architectural Advisory Committee

Mr. Clark reported that he got a response from Mr. Giorgio regarding disbanding the Architectural Advisory Committee. He noted that it was created by Town Meeting and if the Town wants to dissolve it because the duties were absorbed by Planning Board, it would require Town Meeting action.

He suggested that Barnstable County come out to discuss the Septic Loan Program with regard to connection to sewers.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Howell moved to adjourn at 8:54 p.m. Mr. Ballantine seconded the motion and the motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Ann Steidel Recording Secretary

Compare Current NMC Benefits to Benefits Under Proposed Revised Rate Changes

PROJECT	<u>Net Benefit in 2016 or 2017</u>	PROPOSED NET	Reduced by	Reductions
AIRPORT	\$591,155	\$465,485.29	0.21258	\$125,669.71
DY GM	- \$59,661	\$45,624.38	0.23527	\$14,036.62
EASTHAM	\$55,125	\$44,815	- 0.18703	\$10,309.84
MESMALL	\$88,861	\$68,893	0.22471	\$19,967.53
WEST TISB	\$75,280	\$55,857	0.25801	\$19,422.90
BARN 1	\$263,686	\$193,369	. 0.26667	\$70,317.27
BARN 2	\$170,772	\$125,992	0.26222	\$44,780.31
BREWSTER	\$115,497	\$79,559	0.31116	\$35,937.69
CHATHAM	\$207,472	\$152,620	0.26438	\$54,851.87
HARWICH	\$501,832	\$366,901	0.2689	\$134,931.00
KATAMA	\$109,915	\$70,529	0.3583	\$39,385.91
NUNNEPOG	\$116,980	\$67,496	0.4230	\$49,483.99
TISBURY	\$108,174	\$71,033	0.3433	\$37,141.10
WIXON	\$8,997	\$6,195	0.3114	\$2,801.75
ORLEANS	\$56,636	\$40,116	0.2917	\$16,519,52
PTOWN TRANSFER	\$8,362	\$15,437	* * Skewed by BTM	(\$7,074.91)
DY RM	\$48,570	\$32,476	0.3314	\$16,094.29
TOTAL	\$2,586,974	\$1,902,397.86	0.28438 Average	\$684,576.40

Expected NMC Benefits from Projects in Start-up Under Proposed Revised Rate Changes

. . .

PROJECT	Expected Net Benefit in 2018	Under Revised Rate	Reduced by	Reductions
Marle's Way (Syncarpha)	\$107,614	\$66,924.00	0.3781	\$40,690.00
Nexamp	\$36,211	\$22,519.44	0.3781	\$13,691.89
Future Generation Wind	\$14,117	\$7,078.59	0.4986	\$7,038.12
TOTAL	\$157,942	\$96,522.03	0.41826 Average	\$61,420.01
GRAND TOTALS	\$2,744,916	\$1,998,920	0.34250 Average	\$745,996

Page 2

ATTACHMENT A

---!

ŧ

CVEC REVIEW OF VALUE REDUCTIONS FROM PROPOSED REVISED EVERSOURCE RATE CHANGES

6.30.17 4 --- 2 Cape & Vineyard Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Note; starred projects involve CVEC offtakers. The loss in NMC value will affect the CVEC offtakers: COMM Water District, Cotuit Water Department, Monomoy School District, Dukes County, Barnstable County, Barnstable, Provincetown, Chatham, Chilmark, Oak Bluffs, Brewster, and Yarmouth.

PROJECT	Net Benefit in 2016 or 2017	PROPOSED NET	Reduced by	Reductions
BARNSTABLE AIRPORT*	\$591,155	\$465,485,29	0.21258	\$125,669.71
DY HIGH GM*	\$59,661	\$45,624.38	0.23527	\$14,036.6
EASTHAM	\$55,125	\$44,815	0.18703	\$10,309.84
ME SMALL*	\$88,861	\$68,893	0.22471	\$19,967.5
WEST TISBURY*	\$75,280	\$55,857	0.25801	\$19,422.9
BARN 1	\$263,686	\$193,369	0.26667	\$70,317.2
BARN 2	\$170,772	\$125,992	0.26222	\$44,780.3
BREWSTER	\$115,497	\$79,559	0.31116	\$35,937.6
CHATHAM	\$207,472	\$152,620	0.26438	\$54,851.8
HARWICH*	\$501,832	\$366,901	0.2689 🕅	\$134,931.0
KATAMA	\$109,915	\$70,529	0.3583	\$39,385.9
NUNNEPOG*	\$116,980	\$67,496	0.4230	\$49,483.9
FISBURY*	\$108,174	•••	0.3433	\$37,141.1
WIXON*	\$8,997	\$6,195	0.3114	\$2,801.7
ORLEANS	\$56,636	\$40,116	0.2917	\$16,519.5
BARN FIRE DISTRICT*	\$109,803	\$70,457	0.3583	
PTOWN TRANSFER	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		* :* Skewed by I	BTM
DY RM	\$48,570	\$32,476	0.3314	\$16,094.2
OTAL	\$2,688,415	\$1,957,418.09	0,28873 Average	\$730,997.2
	re NMC Benefits from n	on-CVEC Projects (Owned by CVEC Members	
DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT	re NMC Benefits from n	on-CVEC Projects (
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owned by CVEC Members	5)
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS	re NMC Benefits from n \$697,855	on-CVEC Projects (\$529,702.47		5)
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owned by CVEC Members	5)
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH YARMOUTH WATER TANK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owned by CVEC Members	5)
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH YARMOUTH WATER TANK FALMOUTH		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owned by CVEC Members	5)
ROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT DURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS	\$697,855	\$529,702.47	Owned by CVEC Member: 0.240956258	\$168,152.5
YROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Owned by CVEC Members	
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH VARMOUTH WATER TANK FALMOUTH DRLEANS TOTAL	\$697,855 , \$697,855	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47	Owned by CVEC Member: 0.240956258	\$) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS OTAL Compare Expecte ROJECT	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr Expected Net Benefit in 2018	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t	Owned by CVEC Member: 0.240956258 0.24096 Average	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 Sate Changes
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH VARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS TOTAL Compare Expecte PROJECT Marie's Way (Syncarpha)*	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t	Owned by CVEC Member: 0.240956258 0.24096 Average Juder Proposed Revised R	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 Rate Changes Reductions \$40,690.0
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS OTAL Compare Expecte ROJECT Marie's Way (Syncarpha)*	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr Expected Net Benefit in 2018	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t <u>Under Revised Rate</u>	Owned by CVEC Members 0.240956258 0.24096 Average Jader Proposed Revised R Reduced by	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 Rate Changes Reductions \$40,690.0
ROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT DURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS OTAL Compare Expecte ROJECT Marie's Way (Syncarpha)* Jexamp*	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr Expected Net Benefit in 2018 \$107,614	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t Under Revised Rate \$66,924.00	Owned by CVEC Member: 0.240956258 0.24096 Average Jnder Proposed Revised R Reduced by 0.3781	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 Reductions \$40,690.0 \$13,691.8
ROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT DURNE DENNIS ANDWICH ARMOUTH WATER TANK ALMOUTH DRLEANS OTAL Compare Expecte ROJECT Marie's Way (Syncarpha) * Jexamp* uture Generation Wind*	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr Expected Net Benefit in 2018 \$107,614 \$36,211	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t Under Revised Rate \$66,924.00 \$22,519.44	Owned by CVEC Members 0.240956258 0.24096 Average Jader Proposed Revised R Reduced by 0.3781 0.3781	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 ate Changes <u>Reductions</u> \$40,690.0 \$13,691.8 \$7,038.1
PROJECT DUKES COUNTY AIRPORT BOURNE DENNIS SANDWICH VARMOUTH WATER TANK FALMOUTH DRILEANS FOTAL	\$697,855 \$697,855 d NMC Benefits from Pr Expected Net Benefit in 2018 \$107,614 \$36,211 \$14,117	\$529,702.47 \$529,702.47 ojects in Start-up t <u>Under Revised Rate</u> \$66,924.00 \$22,519.44 \$7,078.59	Owned by CVEC Members 0.240956258 0.24096 Average Jader Proposed Revised R Reduced by 0.3781 0.3781 0.4986	s) \$168,152.5 \$168,152.5 Rate Changes Reductions

Related Documents - July 3, 2017 BOS Meeting

01 Agenda.pdf

02 Minutes May 30, 2017.pdf 03 Minutes June 22, 2017.pdf 04 B. Josselyn Resignation.pdf 05 L. Groves Resignation.pdf 06 D. Callaghan Appointment.pdf 07 Surplus Beach Sand.pdf 08 COA Donations.pdf 09 M. Carlson Appointment.pdf 10 R. Ryder Appointment.pdf 11 Cable Appropriation.pdf 12 Bicycle Trek Application.pdf 13 North Harwich Bogs Letter.pdf 14 Planning Board.pdf 15 Middle School Extension.pdf 16A Goals & Objectives Outline.pdf 16B Goals & Objectives List of Actions.pdf 16C Goals & Objectives Deliverables.pdf 17A Sample Weekly Communication Memo.pdf 17B Sample Weekly Communication Memo.pdf 18 One Cape.pdf 19 Barnstable County Survey.pdf